

Deborah.Easterling

From: Jocelyn.Boyd
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:41 PM
To: Deborah.Easterling
Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com
Subject: FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>] On Behalf Of J. R. Acton, III
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: Jocelyn.Boyd
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Jocelyn Boyd
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "...at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of J. R. Acton, III
<jracton.iii@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Howard
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

John Howard
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "...at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of J. R. Acton, III
<jracton.iii@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Randall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Comer Randall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "...at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of J. R. Acton, III
<jracton.iii@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Nikiya Hall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "..at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of J. R. Acton, III
<jracton.iii@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Fleming
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "...at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of J. R. Acton, III
<jracton.iii@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hamilton
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "...at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of J. R. Acton, III
<jracton.iii@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:09 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Whitfield
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Swain Whitfield
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, I firmly believe that it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state. The hoary old cliché about South Carolina being "...at the top of all the bad lists, and at the bottom of all the good lists" can only BE a cliché if it has some grain of truth (empirically demonstrable to even the most casual of observers in the cited case, unfortunately!); should we all not strive to ensure that the lack of free access to solar power (not to mention other renewable energy possibilities) for the citizens of the Great State of South Carolina doesn't find us yet again included at the "...bottom of a good list"? Your prompt consideration in this regard will be warmly appreciated by the citizenry, I believe.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. J. R. Acton, III
1927 Pine Lake Dr
West Columbia, SC 29169-3413